**EDITORIAL**



# **Redefning language networks: connectivity beyond localised regions**

**Stephanie J. Forkel<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> • Peter Hagoort<sup>1,2</sup>** 

© The Author(s) 2024

**Keywords** Language · Networks · Systems · White matter · Connectome · Interindividual variability

The study of the neurobiology of language is entering an exciting era, marked by new perspectives and methodologies that go beyond traditional localisationist approaches. As we deepen our understanding of how language functions in the brain, it is clear that no single cortical area can fully account for the complexity of language processing. Rather, language processing requires dynamic interactions across widespread neural networks involving cortical regions and subcortical structures embedded into a network of white matter pathways (Thiebaut de Schotten and Forkel [2022\)](#page-5-0). Moreover, the execution of our language skills (e.g. speaking, listening, reading) relies on robust connections to various cognitive systems, including memory and executive control (Hagoort [2017\)](#page-4-0). Processing of language is not only based on the retrieval of lexical knowledge but also interacts with multiple other sources of information (e.g. co-speech gestures, emotional prosody, the conversational setting) that codetermine the interpretation of the linguistic input and comodulate the characteristics of the spoken output. It is also important to realise that communication through language is more than exchanging propositional content. With a linguistic utterance, the speaker aims to change the state of mind of a listener or prompt them to take action. For example, the

 $\boxtimes$  Stephanie J. Forkel Stephanie.Forkel@gmail.com

 $\boxtimes$  Peter Hagoort Peter.Hagoort@mpi.nl

- <sup>1</sup> Donders Institute for Brain Cognition Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Brain Connectivity and Behaviour Laboratory, Sorbonne Universities, Paris, France
- <sup>4</sup> Centre for Neuroimaging Sciences, Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

statement "It is cold in here" is often an implicit request to do something about it (e.g. to close the window). The inference from coded meaning to speaker meaning depends on the contribution of the so-called Theory of Mind network in the brain (Hagoort and Levinson [2014](#page-4-1)). In short, commanding a language goes beyond core areas for retrieving and combining linguistic information. It requires additional contributions from multiple cortical and subcortical networks.

In this *Brain Structure & Function collection* titled *Language Systems*, we invited contributors to explore language from diverse perspectives, across brain states, and encompassing languages beyond English. This collection explores how the brain orchestrates linguistic processing through multiple interconnected systems. The research presented here highlights that no singular brain region or pathway is responsible for language. Consequently, the notion of a single, unifed language area or network is a misconception (Hagoort [2019;](#page-4-2) Forkel et al., [2021;](#page-4-3) Thiebaut de Schotten and Forkel [2022](#page-5-0)). These studies highlight the complexities of language processing by examining the structural and functional architectures that support it, ofering fresh insights into how diverse brain structures collaborate to facilitate language.

By employing advanced methodologies such as neuroimaging, computational modelling, and large-scale data analyses, the papers in this collection provide a comprehensive view of the multifaceted nature of language. We aim to shift the dialogue from a narrow, localised language perspective to one that fully embraces its dynamic and distributed character, recognising the importance of cortical, subcortical, and connectional contributions to linguistic function (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Structural connectivity of language-relevant associative white matter pathways and their cortical and subcortical termination and relay areas. Many of these connections have been clinically validated through neurosurgical techniques, lesion studies, and direct electrical stimulation, underscoring their relevance in language processing. Additional pathways are included for completeness; while their connections to critical regions (e.g., fronto-insular tracts) suggest potential signifcance, they await further functional validation

## **Key contributions**

A central piece revisits Wernicke's 150-year-old model, ofering a contemporary review of its evolution and relevance. Roelofs integrates data from patient studies and computational models, explaining how Wernicke's refex arc concept has been updated with mechanisms such as attentional control (Roelofs [2024](#page-5-1)). This perspective highlights how our understanding of language processing has advanced and continues to evolve with novel insights and new data.

Current functional language localisation protocols are often univariate, treating each small unit of brain volume as independent. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (*f*MRI) is a notable example, where researchers commonly compare neural responses to sentences with pseudowords [pronounceable nonwords; e.g. (Fedorenko et al. [2024,](#page-4-4)

[2010](#page-4-5))]. While this method consistently activates patterns in peri-sylvian regions involved in the syntactical processing of sentences, it is a less effective paradigm to identify activity in extra-sylvian areas related to semantics and word meanings. Graves et al. propose that using a multivariate region of interest (ROI) approach—targeting brain areas consistently active across participants—more efectively captures brain functions tied to meaning (Graves et al. [2023](#page-4-6)). Their work highlights vital structures involved in semantics, such as the frontal gyri (Binder et al. [2009\)](#page-4-7), bilateral anterior superior temporal gyrus (Lambon Ralph et al. [2010](#page-4-8)), left middle temporal gyrus (Turken and Dronkers [2011\)](#page-5-2), angular gyrus (Seghier [2013](#page-5-3)), and the posterior cingulate cortex (Leech and Sharp [2014](#page-4-9)). Combining univariate and multivariate approaches could provide a more complete understanding of how the brain processes diferent linguistic features of language.

How diferent types of language usage—for example, emotive and referential or comprehension and production—are supported by specialised neural networks refects the multifaceted nature of language processing. It demonstrates the brain's dynamic ability to adapt to diverse linguistic demands. Ma et al. explore this by examining how the brain processes emotive and referential language, revealing that the right temporoparietal junction is activated for emotive language, while referential language primarily engages left hemisphere networks (Ma et al. [2022](#page-5-4)). This fnding expands our understanding of how distinct neural pathways handle emotional versus factual language. Similarly, Roos et al. introduce the Concise Language Paradigm (CLaP), which investigates comprehension and production simultaneously using electrophysiological methods (Roos et al. [2024\)](#page-5-5). Their study highlights the brain's delicate balancing act between understanding and generating language, focusing on alpha–beta oscillatory dynamics. This novel approach provides fresh insights into the neural mechanisms that support concurrent language functions, ofering a more nuanced view of the brain's fexibility in processing language. Together, these studies underscore the brain's capacity to adapt specialised networks for diferent linguistic functions, enriching our understanding of how language systems operate.

Another critical focus is interindividual variability in brain anatomy (i.e. neurovariability) and its impact on language function (Forkel et al. [2021\)](#page-4-3). One highly variable structure is the transverse temporal gyrus (TTG), commonly known by its eponym Heschl's gyrus, which exhibits considerable interindividual variability (Henderson et al. [2023;](#page-4-10) Marie et al. [2015\)](#page-5-6). Observations of such anatomical variability are generally well-documented e.g. (Amunts et al. [1999](#page-4-11); Caspers et al. [2006;](#page-4-12) Fornito et al. [2006;](#page-4-13) Ono et al. [1990](#page-5-7)), and the variability of the transverse gyrus might point toward the intricate nature of auditory processing and speech features. Ramoser et al. examine the multiplication pattern of the bilateral TTG in relation to language ability (Ramoser et al., [2024](#page-5-8)). Their observations suggest that fewer gyri in the right hemisphere and a larger surface area in the frst right transverse gyrus and the second left are associated with language aptitude. Eckert et al. further demonstrate that a duplicated transverse gyrus correlates with lower phonological decoding abilities, highlighting how structural diferences in the transverse gyrus can infuence language aptitude and broader cognitive abilities like reading and auditory processing (Eckert [2024\)](#page-4-14).

Looking at the white matter involved in a spelling-to-dictation task, Sagi et al. report that high-performing in spelling signifcantly correlated with the left inferior longitudinal fasciculus (Fig. [1](#page-1-0); Sagi et al. [2024\)](#page-5-9). In contrast, low-performing in spelling correlated with the right superior longitudinal fasciculus. These results suggest that high performers rely more on lexical-orthographic processes, while low performers depend on phoneme-to-grapheme conversion.

The role of subcortical structures in language processing is also gaining recognition, with clinical studies providing critical insights. Patients with thalamic strokes, for example, often exhibit language impairments with a predominance of lexical-semantic difficulties, underscoring the thalamus' importance in modulating communication between cortical areas involved in language (Fritsch et al. [2022](#page-4-15); Rangus et al. [2024](#page-5-10)). Similarly, an estimated 90% of people with Parkinson's Disease experience difficulties with their voice and speech, known as hypokinetic dysarthria, meaning that they speak softly, with a monotonous pitch, slurred articulation, and abnormal speech rates (Ramig et al. [2008](#page-5-11)). After undergoing deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subcortical grey matter in the basal ganglia (thalamus, caudate, putamen, globus pallidus), DBS is highly efective in alleviating the cardinal motor symptoms of PD; however, it has mixed outcomes when it comes to speech. Some patients experience improvements in their speech with DBS (Pinto et al. [2005\)](#page-5-12), while others experience their speech worsening over time (Bronstein et al. [2011](#page-4-16); Tripoliti et al. [2011](#page-5-13), [2014](#page-5-14); Wertheimer et al. [2014\)](#page-5-15). The reason for these conficting outcomes, however, remains unclear. Bulut and Hagoort's meta-analysis of the healthy brain emphasises the bilateral thalamus' role in cortical-thalamo-cerebellar loops, revealing its coactivation with frontal and temporal regions and subcortical areas like the basal ganglia and cerebellum during language tasks (Bulut and Hagoort [2024](#page-4-17)). Bulut & Hagoort suggest that cortico-subcortical-cerebellar-cortical loops modulate and fne-tune information transfer within the bilateral frontotemporal cortices during language processing, especially during production and semantic operations, but also other language (e.g. syntax, phonology) and cognitive operations (e.g. attention, cognitive control). The cerebellum has recently been mapped in detail for its contributions to language (Turker et al. [2023](#page-5-16)). Petríková et al., therefore, targeted the cerebellum by transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) to assess and compare the contribution of the cerebellar processing to automatic and controlled retrieval of words in healthy adults (Petríková et al. [2023](#page-5-17)). They report that cerebellar tDCS facilitated the retrieval of sequentially related words in free-associative word chains. Still, it did not affect tasks requiring semantic control, such as inhibiting unrelated words or switching fexibly between retrieval rules. This work broadens our understanding of how language networks extend beyond the cortico-centric view, highlighting the signifcant contributions of subcortical and cerebellar structures to complex language functions.

Additionally, this collection goes beyond studies of English-speaking populations to explore how diverse languages engage brain networks diferently. Seghier and Boudelaa's review of the neuroimaging literature on Arabic reading, for example, demonstrates how the unique orthographic features of Arabic activate distinct neural pathways, challenging established neuroanatomical models of reading (Seghier and Boudelaa [2024](#page-5-18)). Kumar et al. examine the brain's processing of Sanskrit verse using functional imaging and show how it adapts to ancient languages' complex linguistic and rhythmic structures (Kumar et al., [2024](#page-4-18)). Quiñones et al. explore the neuroplasticity of the bilingual brain, revealing how the coexistence of multiple languages reshapes the structure and function of neural networks, mainly through the recruitment of broader bilateral basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuits (Quiñones et al. [2024](#page-5-19)). Together, these studies emphasise the importance of considering diverse languages and their neural mechanisms to fully understand the brain's language networks.

The clinical studies in this collection focus on language disorders and the neuroanatomy underlying language recovery, especially following stroke or surgical interventions. Stockbridge et al. investigate white matter integrity in subacute post-stroke aphasia patients (Stockbridge et al. [2024\)](#page-5-20). An atlas-based difusion tensor imaging tractography analysis revealed that recovery is closely linked to changes in critical white matter tracts, including the arcuate and superior longitudinal fasciculi. Their fndings suggest that microstructural integrity can serve as a predictor of language recovery. Similarly, Kram et al. explore surgical risk stratifcation in glioma patients by examining pre- and postoperative changes in language-related tracts, such as the arcuate fasciculus and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) (Kram et al. [2024\)](#page-4-19). Their work underscores the importance of tract integrity in predicting post-surgical language outcomes and provides valuable insights for clinical decision-making.

In summary, this collection offers a multifaceted exploration of language systems, highlighting how diverse methods, languages, and populations provide a more complete picture of how language networks operate across the entire brain. This collection extends beyond classical models and contributes valuable insights into healthy and disordered language processing.

## **A call for a shift in thinking**

The traditional approach of pinpointing "where" language happens in the brain is increasingly seen as an oversimplifcation. Language is not an isolated function confned to a few discrete regions; rather, it emerges from a web of dynamic, interconnected systems. These systems adapt, collaborate, and respond based on the task, whether speech production, comprehension, or reading. The contributions in this issue collectively challenge a cortico-centric localisationist view, arguing for a more integrated, systems-level approach.

Language processing is now better understood as relying on the interactions between various neural networks, including cortical and subcortical regions. For example, recent studies have highlighted how subcortical structures like the thalamus are integral to language processing working in concert with cortical areas and connected by the brain's white matter (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). This perspective represents a signifcant shift from the old models focused on individual language "centres," such as Broca's and Wernicke's areas. Instead, the results reported in this collection show that language processing is based on the complex interactions of multiple systems spanning large parts of the brain.

This evolving understanding opens new approaches in fundamental research and clinical applications. Moving beyond a localisation framework (Catani et al. [2012;](#page-4-20) Noble et al. [2024\)](#page-5-21), we can explore language in its full complexity considering how language interacts with memory, cognitive control, and emotions. This shift in thinking promises to improve our theoretical models of language and how we approach language disorders, potentially moving toward treatments that address language's dynamic and distributed nature in the brain. As these contributions illustrate, embracing this broader, network-based perspective will provide a richer and more accurate understanding of how language operates across diverse populations and in diferent linguistic contexts.

### **Moving forward**

This network perspective underscores the fexibility of the brain's language systems, adapting to diferent environments, languages, tasks, and individual anatomical diferences.

As our feld continues to evolve, researchers and clinicians alike must embrace the complexity inherent in language processing. Traditional, reductionist language models—focused on singular brain regions—are no longer sufficient to explain the rich diversity of linguistic function nor the clinical reality in neurological and neurosurgical patients. The studies in this issue demonstrate the importance of methodologies that capture the broader picture, such as multivariate neuroimaging, computational modelling, and large-scale data analysis embedded into theoretical solid conceptualisations. Such techniques allow us to see how language is processed across distributed networks, providing new insights from language development in the early years to recovery after brain injury in senior years.

By understanding that language is shaped by the interactions of multiple brain networks, we can advance theoretical models and clinical applications. This shift in understanding also brings new potential for enhancing therapies for language disorders, offering a more tailored approach that considers the brain's broader role in language function and recovery.

Moreover, this network-based perspective deepens our scientifc understanding and fosters interdisciplinary collaboration. It encourages researchers to draw from cognitive neuroscience, linguistics, psychology, and computer science. These insights will likely lead to new, multimodal, multidimensional and dynamic language models and more efective treatments for individuals with language impairments.

**Funding** Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

**Data availability** The data used for the fgure in this editorial is based on tractography data from the Human Connectome Project (HCP). Access to the original HCP dataset is available through the HCP database ([https://www.humanconnectome.org\)](https://www.humanconnectome.org) in compliance with HCP data use agreements. The processed data is available on the lab's websites at<https://www.bcblab.com>and [https://www.stephanieforkel.com/](https://www.stephanieforkel.com/opendata) [opendata](https://www.stephanieforkel.com/opendata).

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### **References**

<span id="page-4-11"></span>Amunts K, Schleicher A, Bürgel U, Mohlberg H, Uylings HB, Zilles K (1999) Broca's region revisited: cytoarchitecture and intersubject variability. J Comp Neurol 412:319–341

- <span id="page-4-7"></span>Binder JR, Desai RH, Graves WW, Conant LL (2009) Where is the semantic system? A critical review and meta-analysis of 120 functional neuroimaging studies. Cereb Cortex 19:2767–2796
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>Bronstein JM, Tagliati M, Alterman RL, Lozano AM, Volkmann J, Stefani A, Horak FB, Okun MS, Foote KD, Krack P, Pahwa R, Henderson JM, Hariz MI, Bakay RA, Rezai A, Marks WJ Jr, Moro E, Vitek JL, Weaver FM, Gross RE, DeLong MR (2011) Deep brain stimulation for Parkinson disease: an expert consensus and review of key issues: an expert consensus and review of key issues. Arch Neurol 68:165
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>Bulut T, Hagoort P (2024) Contributions of the left and right thalami to language: a meta-analytic approach. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>Caspers S, Geyer S, Schleicher A, Mohlberg H, Amunts K, Zilles K (2006) The human inferior parietal cortex: cytoarchitectonic parcellation and interindividual variability. Neuroimage 33:430–448
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>Catani M, Dell'acqua F, Bizzi A, Forkel SJ, Williams SC, Simmons A, Murphy DG, Thiebaut de Schotten M (2012) Beyond cortical localization in clinico-anatomical correlation. Cortex 48:1262–1287
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>Eckert M (2024) Duplicated Heschl's Gyrus Associations with phonological decoding. Brain Strucut Funct. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-024-02831-2) [1007/s00429-024-02831-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-024-02831-2)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Fedorenko E, Hsieh P-J, Nieto-Castañón A, Whitfeld-Gabrieli S, Kanwisher N (2010) New method for fMRI investigations of language: defning ROIs functionally in individual subjects. J Neurophysiol 104:1177–1194
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>Fedorenko E, Ivanova AA, Regev TI (2024) The language network as a natural kind within the broader landscape of the human brain. Nat Rev Neurosci 25:289–312
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>Forkel SJ, Friedrich P, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Howells H (2021) White matter variability, cognition, and disorders: a systematic review. BSAF
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>Fornito A, Whittle S, Wood SJ, Velakoulis D, Pantelis C, Yücel M (2006) The infuence of sulcal variability on morphometry of the human anterior cingulate and paracingulate cortex. Neuroimage 33:843–854
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>Fritsch M, Rangus I, Nolte CH (2022) Thalamic aphasia: a review. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 22:855–865
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>Graves WW, Levinson HJ, Staples R, Boukrina O, Rothlein D, Purcell J (2023) An inclusive multivariate approach to neural localization of language components. Res Square 28(4):225
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>Hagoort P (2017) The core and beyond in the language-ready brain. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 81:194–204
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Hagoort P (2019) The neurobiology of language beyond single-word processing. Science 366:55–58
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>Hagoort P, Levinson SC (2014) Neuropragmatics. In: Gazzaniga MS, Mangun GR (eds) The cognitive neurosciences, 5th edn. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp 667–674
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>Henderson D, Bichoutar I, Moxham B, Faidherbe V, Plaisant O, Guédon A (2023) Descriptive and functional anatomy of the Heschl Gyrus: historical review, manual labelling and current perspectives. Surg Radiol Anat 45:337–350
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>Kram L, Schroeder A, Meyer B, Krieg SM, Ille S (2024) Functionguided diferences of arcuate fascicle and inferior fronto-occipital fascicle tractography as diagnostic indicators for surgical risk stratifcation. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>Kumar U, Pandey HR, Dhanik K, Padakannaya P (2024) Neural correlates of auditory comprehension and integration of sanskrit verse: a functional MRI study. Brain Strucut Funct
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>Lambon Ralph MA, Sage K, Jones RW, Mayberry EJ (2010) Coherent concepts are computed in the anterior temporal lobes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:2717–2722
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>Leech R, Sharp DJ (2014) The role of the posterior cingulate cortex in cognition and disease. Brain 137:12–32
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>Ma Q, Kiyama S, Makuuchi M (2022) Emotive language activates right temporoparietal junction, while referential language activates the left hemispheric network. PsyArXiv
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>Marie D, Jobard G, Crivello F, Perchey G, Petit L, Mellet E, Joliot M, Zago L, Mazoyer B, Tzourio-Mazoyer N (2015) Descriptive anatomy of Heschl's gyri in 430 healthy volunteers, including 198 left-handers. Brain Struct Funct 220:729–743
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>Noble S, Curtiss J, Pessoa L, Scheinost D (2024) The tip of the iceberg: a call to embrace anti-localizationism in human neuroscience research. Imaging Neurosci 2:1–10
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>Ono M, Kubik S, Abernathey CD (1990) Atlas of the cerebral sulci. Thieme Medical Publishers
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>Petríková D, Marko M, Rovný R, Riečanský I (2023) Electrical stimulation of the cerebellum facilitates automatic but not controlled word retrieval. Brain Struct Funct 228:2137–2146
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>Pinto S, Gentil M, Krack P, Sauleau P, Fraix V, Benabid A-L, Pollak P (2005) Changes induced by levodopa and subthalamic nucleus stimulation on parkinsonian speech. Mov Disord 20:1507–1515
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>Quiñones I, Gisbert-Muñoz S, Amoruso L, Manso-Ortega L, Mori U, Bermudez G, Robles SG, Pomposo I, Carreiras M (2024) Unveiling the neuroplastic capacity of the bilingual brain: insights from healthy and pathological individuals. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>Ramig LO, Fox C, Sapir S (2008) Speech treatment for Parkinson's disease. Expert Rev Neurother 8:297–309
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>Ramoser C, Fischer A, Caspers J, Schiller NO, Golestani N, Kepinskka O (2024) Language aptitude is related to the anatomy of the transverse temporal gyri.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>Rangus I, Rios AS, Horn A, Fritsch M, Khalil A, Villringer K, Udke B, Ihrke M, Grittner U, Galinovic I, Al-Fatly B, Endres M, Kufner A, Nolte CH (2024) Fronto-thalamic networks and the left ventral thalamic nuclei play a key role in aphasia after thalamic stroke. Commun Biol 7:700
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>Roelofs A (2024) Wernicke's functional neuroanatomy model of language turns 150: what became of its psychological refex arcs? Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>Roos NM, Chauvet J, Piai V (2024) The Concise Language Paradigm (CLaP), a framework for studying the intersection of comprehension and production: electrophysiological properties. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>Sagi R, Taylor JSH, Neophytou K, Cohen T, Rapp B, Rastle K, Ben-Shachar M (2024) White matter associations with spelling performance. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>Seghier ML (2013) The angular gyrus: multiple functions and multiple subdivisions. Neuroscientist 19:43–61
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>Seghier ML, Boudelaa S (2024) Constraining current neuroanatomical models of reading: the view from Arabic. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>Stockbridge MD, Keser Z, Bonilha L, Hillis A (2024) Microstructural properties in subacute aphasia: concurrent and prospective relationships underpinning recovery. Brain Struct Funct
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>Thiebaut de Schotten MT, Forkel SJ (2022) The emergent properties of the connected brain. Science 378:505–510
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>Tripoliti E, Zrinzo L, Martinez-Torres I, Frost E, Pinto S, Foltynie T, Holl E, Petersen E, Roughton M, Hariz MI, Limousin P (2011) Effects of subthalamic stimulation on speech of consecutive patients with Parkinson disease. Neurology 76:80–86
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>Tripoliti E, Limousin P, Foltynie T, Candelario J, Aviles-Olmos I, Hariz MI, Zrinzo L (2014) Predictive factors of speech intelligibility following subthalamic nucleus stimulation in consecutive patients with Parkinson's disease: speech intelligibility after STN-DBS. Mov Disord 29:532–538
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>Turken AU, Dronkers NF (2011) The neural architecture of the language comprehension network: converging evidence from lesion and connectivity analyses. Front Syst Neurosci 5:1
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>Turker S, Kuhnke P, Eickhoff SB, Caspers S, Hartwigsen G (2023) Cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar contributions to language processing: a meta-analytic review of 403 neuroimaging experiments. Psychol Bull 149:699–723
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>Wertheimer J, Gottuso AY, Nuno M, Walton C, Duboille A, Tuchman M, Ramig L (2014) The impact of STN deep brain stimulation on speech in individuals with Parkinson's disease: the patient's perspective. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 20:1065–1070

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.